IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.P.BALACHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 5TH DECEMBER 2007 / 14TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 30015 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

SHAILAJA, AGED 38 YEARS,
D/O. SARASAMMA, NIRAKATTU VEEDU
(ARCHANALAYAM), KALLUMTHAZHIM,
KILIKOLLOOR P.O., KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.ABDUL JAWAD

RESPONDENTS:

1. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KILIKOLLOOR POLICE STATION,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

2. RAJAN CHETTIYAR, S/O.CHELLAPPAN CHETTIAR,
SINDHU BHAVANAM, AMMAN NAGAR-205,
VADAKKEVILA, PATTATHANAM, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.B.SURESH KUMAR
BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.P.A.SALIM

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 05/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN WPC 30015/2007

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT. P1 TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DT. 14.10.06 SHOWING THE LODGING OF THE COMPLAINT BEFORE THE KILIKOLLOOR POLICE

EXT. P2 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF INTERIM INJUNCTION IN OS 656/07 ON THE FILE OF THE MUNSIFF COURT, KOLLAM

EXT. P3 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR INJUNCTION IN OP (G&W) 549/07 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE FAMILY COURT, KOLLAM

EXT. P4 TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DT. 24.7.07 OF THE KOLLAM CORPORATION SHOWING THE OWNERSHIP OF PETITIONER'S RESIDENCE

EXT. P5 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DT. 18.10.07 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MUNSIFF COURT, KOLLAM SUBMITTED BY THE KOLLAM CORPORATION

EXT. P6 TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT WITH INJUNCTION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE MUNSIFF COURT, KOLLAM BY THE PETITIONER

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.R2(a) TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE MUNSIFF'S COURT, KOLLAM IN IA 4057/07 IN OS 656/07 DT. 25.10.07

/TRUE COPY/

K.Balakrishnan Nair &
K.P.Balachandran, JJ.

W.P.(C)No. 30015 of 2007 W

JUDGMENT

Balakrishnan Nair, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court alleging that the second respondent is threatening and harassing her. Seeking police protection against him, this writ petition is filed. On 14.11.2007, this Court recorded the submission of the second respondent that he has no intention, whatsoever, to cause any bodily harm to the petitioner. In view of the said submission, no further orders were passed in the writ petition.

2. Today, it is submitted on behalf of the second respondent that he stands by that submission. Therefore, we need not give any direction to the police now. But, if, in violation of the undertaking, any action is taken by the second respondent against the petitioner, she can

inform the first respondent of the same. In that event, the first respondent shall look into the same and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

(K.Balakrishnan Nair, Judge)

5th December, 2007

(K.P.Balachandran, Judge)

tkv